



District Criterion by Grade and Subject (2014-2015)

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

The following table summarizes assessments evaluated at each grade level and subject. Please note, since PARCC ELA is a composite score of reading and writing, growth is reported for each subtest in this report. To view growth charts to the composite ELA, as well as the subtests, please see the School Improvement application.

Criterion by Grade and Subject

Grade	English	Language	Mathematics	Reading	Science	Writing
01				Spring RCBM		
02			Spring MAP	Spring RCBM,Spring MAP		
03			Spring PARCC,Spring MAP	Spring RCBM,Spring PARCC,Spring		Spring PARCC
04			Spring PARCC,Spring MAP	Spring RCBM,Spring PARCC,Spring		Spring PARCC
05		Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP		Spring PARCC
06		Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP		Spring PARCC
07		Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP		Spring PARCC
08		Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP	Spring PARCC,Spring MAP		Spring PARCC
09			Spring MAP	Spring MAP		
10	Spring IACT		Spring IACT,Spring MAP	Spring MAP,Spring IACT	Spring IACT	
11	Spring ACT		Spring ACT,Spring PARCC Algebra	Spring ACT,Spring PARCC	Spring ACT	Spring PARCC





District Overall Growth Summary (2014-2015)

Subject:

All Subjects

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth

0.00

Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

School:	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
CAPRON ELEMENTARY	103	53%	16%	66%	18%	- 0.03
MANCHESTER ELEMENTARY	103	53%	18%	67%	15%	+ 0.03
NORTH BOONE HIGH SCHOOL	366	30%	18%	66%	17%	+ 0.03
NORTH BOONE MIDDLE	231	58%	15%	67%	17%	+ 0.01
NORTH BOONE UPPER ELEM	189	46%	16%	64%	20%	- 0.11
POPLAR GROVE ELEMENTARY	210	67%	17%	66%	17%	+ 0.03
ALL		50%	17%	66%	17%	0.00
EXPECTED			16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or

above



Expected Growth

Growth from -0.29 to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30

to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

English

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth
- 0.17 Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

School	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
NORTH BOONE HIGH SCHOOL	230	49%	18%	57%	25%	- 0.17
ALL EXPECTED		49%	18% 16%	57% 68%	25% 16%	- 0.17 ()

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than
Expected Growth

Growth is +0.30 or above

Expected Growth

Growth from -0.29 to +0.29



Lower than
Expected Growth

Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Language

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth
- 0.09 Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

School	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
NORTH BOONE MIDDLE	231	N/A	16%	65%	19%	- 0.02
NORTH BOONE UPPER ELEM	189	N/A	16%	61%	23%	- 0.16
ALL		N/A	16%	63%	20%	- 0.09
EXPECTED			16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or

above

Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29


to +0.29

Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Mathematics

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth
+ 0.02 Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

		THE GLOWILL DY	OCHOO			
School	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
CAPRON ELEMENTARY	79	47%	22%	61%	16%	+ 0.09
MANCHESTER ELEMENTARY	77	47%	8%	71%	20%	- 0.19
NORTH BOONE HIGH SCHOOL	366	26%	18%	67%	14%	+ 0.08
NORTH BOONE MIDDLE	231	45%	15%	68%	17%	+ 0.03
NORTH BOONE UPPER ELEM	189	31%	12%	64%	24%	- 0.23
POPLAR GROVE ELEMENTARY	161	63%	21%	65%	14%	+ 0.22
ALL		41%	17%	66%	17%	+ 0.02
EXPECTED			16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Reading

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth
+ 0.04 Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

School	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
CAPRON ELEMENTARY	103	58%	13%	67%	20%	- 0.13
MANCHESTER ELEMENTARY	103	58%	21%	64%	15%	+ 0.09
NORTH BOONE HIGH SCHOOL	366	29%	18%	69%	13%	+ 0.13
NORTH BOONE MIDDLE	231	71%	16%	68%	16%	+ 0.06
NORTH BOONE UPPER ELEM	189	60%	19%	65%	17%	- 0.03
POPLAR GROVE ELEMENTARY	210	72%	16%	67%	17%	- 0.04
ALL		57%	17%	67%	16%	+ 0.04
EXPECTED			16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or

above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Lower than
Expected Growth
Growth from -0.30
to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Science

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth
- 0.19 Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

School	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
NORTH BOONE HIGH SCHOOL	230	18%	13%	63%	24%	- 0.19
ALL		18%	13%	63%	24%	- 0.19
EXPECTED			16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Writing

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth

0.00 Expected Growth

Student Growth by School

School	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Grow	th
CAPRON ELEMENTARY	44	N/A	18%	73%	9%	+ 0.23	()
MANCHESTER ELEMENTARY	49	N/A	8%	71%	20%	- 0.24	0
NORTH BOONE HIGH SCHOOL	90	N/A	16%	67%	18%	0.00	•
NORTH BOONE MIDDLE	215	N/A	14%	70%	16%	0.00	①
NORTH BOONE UPPER ELEM	162	N/A	15%	69%	15%	- 0.02	①
POPLAR GROVE ELEMENTARY	108	N/A	19%	64%	18%	+ 0.07	()
ALL		N/A	15%	69%	16%	0.00	•
EXPECTED			16%	68%	16%	0.00	_

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or

above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth Growth is -0.60 or

below





^{**}Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding ***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Subject:

English

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth
- 0.17 Expected Growth

Student Growth by Grade

Grade	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
10	120	43%	18%	57%	26%	- 0.18
11	110	55%	18%	58%	24%	- 0.17
ALL EXPECT	ED	49%	18% 16%	57% 68%	25% 16%	- 0.17 (a)

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth

Growth from -0.29 to +0.29



Lower than
Expected Growth
Growth from -0.30

to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth








Subject:

Language

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring



Student Growth by Grade

Grade	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
05	93	N/A	26%	63%	11%	+ 0.24
06	96	N/A	7%	58%	34%	- 0.56
07	115	N/A	15%	60%	25%	- 0.24
08	116	N/A	18%	70%	12%	+ 0.19
ALL		N/A	16%	63%	20%	- 0.09
EXPECT	ΓED		16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Expected GrowthGrowth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth








Subject:

Mathematics

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring



Student Growth by Grade

Grade	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
02	106	60%	17%	69%	14%	+ 0.14
03	102	53%	17%	64%	19%	- 0.01
04	109	52%	21%	64%	15%	+ 0.13
05	93	30%	12%	66%	22%	- 0.22
06	96	32%	12%	62%	26%	- 0.24
07	115	43%	15%	69%	17%	+ 0.03
08	116	47%	16%	67%	17%	+ 0.03
09	123	N/A	24%	63%	13%	+ 0.19
10	133	23%	15%	71%	15%	- 0.01
11	110	29%	15%	69%	16%	+ 0.06
ALL		41%	17%	66%	17%	+ 0.02
EXPECT	TED		16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth








Subject:

Reading

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring



Student Growth by Grade

Grade	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
01	99	N/A	17%	69%	14%	+ 0.03
02	106	65%	15%	58%	27%	- 0.24
03	102	66%	18%	68%	14%	+ 0.09
04	109	65%	16%	70%	14%	+ 0.01
05	93	65%	25%	63%	11%	+ 0.20
06	96	55%	12%	66%	22%	- 0.24
07	115	67%	12%	66%	22%	- 0.15
08	116	76%	20%	70%	10%	+ 0.27
09	123	N/A	20%	69%	11%	+ 0.21
10	133	30%	20%	68%	12%	+ 0.21
11	110	28%	13%	69%	18%	- 0.07
ALL		57%	17%	67%	16%	+ 0.04
EXPECT	TED		16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than
Expected Growth
Growth is +0.30 or

above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Expected GrowthGrowth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth








Subject:

Science

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: **Local District**

Term: Spring




Student Growth by Grade

Grade	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
10	120	18%	15%	60%	25%	- 0.21
11	110	18%	10%	67%	23%	- 0.17
ALL EXPECT	-ED	18%	13% 16%	63% 68%	24% 16%	- 0.19 (

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students






Expected Growth

to +0.29

Growth from -0.29





Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Writing

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Overall Growth

Overall Growth

Student Growth by Grade

Grade	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
03	96	N/A	17%	68%	16%	+ 0.01
04	105	N/A	15%	68%	17%	+ 0.04
05	79	N/A	16%	68%	15%	- 0.03
06	83	N/A	14%	70%	16%	- 0.02
07	103	N/A	17%	66%	17%	0.00
08	112	N/A	13%	73%	14%	0.00
11	90	N/A	16%	67%	18%	0.00
ALL		N/A	15%	69%	16%	0.00
EXPECT	ΓED		16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or

above

Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29

Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory
Growth
Growth is -0.60 o







Subject:

English

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Student Growth by Subgroup

Group	Subgroup	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
Ethnicity	Asian	2	100%	0%	50%	50%	***
Ethnicity	Black	1	0%	0%	100%	0%	***
Ethnicity	Hispanic	57	39%	21%	44%	35%	- 0.33
Ethnicity	Other	5	40%	20%	60%	20%	- 0.06
Ethnicity	White	165	52%	17%	62%	21%	- 0.12
Gender	Female	114	54%	19%	60%	21%	0.00
Gender	Male	116	44%	16%	55%	28%	- 0.34
IEP	IEP	30	3%	17%	53%	30%	- 0.27
IEP	No IEP	200	56%	18%	58%	24%	- 0.16
Income	Low Income	107	39%	16%	54%	30%	- 0.29
Income	Not Low Income	123	57%	20%	60%	20%	- 0.07
LEP	LEP	3	0%	0%	67%	33%	***
LEP	Not LEP	227	49%	18%	57%	25%	- 0.16
EXPECTED				16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding
***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or

above



Expected Growth

Growth from -0.29 to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30

to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Language

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Student Growth by Subgroup

Group	Subgroup	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
Ethnicity	Asian	4	N/A	50%	50%	0%	***
Ethnicity	Black	5	N/A	0%	80%	20%	- 0.32* 🌘
Ethnicity	Hispanic	85	N/A	20%	66%	14%	+ 0.11
Ethnicity	Other	28	N/A	29%	61%	11%	+ 0.15
Ethnicity	White	298	N/A	14%	62%	23%	- 0.17
Gender	Female	210	N/A	24%	62%	14%	+ 0.21
Gender	Male	210	N/A	9%	64%	27%	- 0.38
IEP	IEP	54	N/A	9%	67%	24%	- 0.28
IEP	No IEP	366	N/A	17%	63%	20%	- 0.06
Income	Low Income	209	N/A	16%	65%	20%	- 0.08
Income	Not Low Income	211	N/A	17%	62%	21%	- 0.10
LEP	LEP	8	N/A	0%	75%	25%	- 0.43*
LEP	Not LEP	412	N/A	17%	63%	20%	- 0.08
EXPECTED				16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29




Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Mathematics

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: **Local District**

Term: Spring

Student Growth by Subgroup

Group	Subgroup	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
Ethnicity	Asian	8	57%	6%	81%	13%	- 0.05
Ethnicity	Black	13	20%	12%	73%	15%	- 0.17
Ethnicity	Hispanic	257	29%	17%	66%	17%	+ 0.01
Ethnicity	Other	70	43%	18%	65%	17%	+ 0.09
Ethnicity	White	755	45%	16%	66%	17%	+ 0.02
Gender	Female	542	41%	15%	68%	17%	- 0.03
Gender	Male	561	41%	18%	64%	17%	+ 0.06
IEP	IEP	150	18%	14%	68%	18%	- 0.05
IEP	No IEP	953	45%	17%	66%	17%	+ 0.03
Income	Low Income	572	32%	15%	67%	18%	- 0.03
Income	Not Low Income	531	50%	18%	65%	16%	+ 0.07
LEP	LEP	72	23%	19%	58%	23%	- 0.04
LEP	Not LEP	1,031	42%	16%	67%	17%	+ 0.02
EXPECTED		_		16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than **Expected Growth**

Growth is +0.30 or

above



Expected Growth

Growth from -0.29 to +0.29



Lower than **Expected Growth**

Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Reading

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: Local District

Term: Spring

Student Growth by Subgroup

Group	Subgroup	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
Ethnicity	Asian	8	86%	29%	48%	23%	+ 0.05
Ethnicity	Black	15	40%	19%	61%	20%	- 0.06
Ethnicity	Hispanic	281	46%	15%	68%	17%	- 0.04
Ethnicity	Other	74	57%	21%	64%	15%	+ 0.13
Ethnicity	White	824	61%	17%	67%	15%	+ 0.06
Gender	Female	578	64%	19%	69%	12%	+ 0.13
Gender	Male	624	50%	16%	66%	19%	- 0.05
IEP	IEP	159	25%	13%	65%	22%	- 0.14
IEP	No IEP	1,043	62%	18%	67%	15%	+ 0.06
Income	Low Income	622	51%	16%	68%	16%	0.00
Income	Not Low Income	580	64%	19%	66%	15%	+ 0.08
LEP	LEP	91	35%	14%	62%	24%	- 0.22
LEP	Not LEP	1,111	59%	17%	68%	15%	+ 0.06
EXPECTED				16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding
***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth





Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth







Subject:

Science

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group:

Local District

Term: Spring

Student Growth by Subgroup

Group	Subgroup	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
Ethnicity	Asian	2	50%	0%	100%	0%	***
Ethnicity	Black	1	0%	0%	100%	0%	***
Ethnicity	Hispanic	57	9%	12%	58%	30%	- 0.26
Ethnicity	Other	5	0%	0%	80%	20%	- 0.72* (
Ethnicity	White	165	21%	13%	64%	22%	- 0.15 (
Gender	Female	114	12%	11%	66%	24%	- 0.22 (
Gender	Male	116	23%	15%	61%	24%	-0.16
IEP	IEP	30	3%	20%	60%	20%	- 0.05 (
IEP	No IEP	200	20%	12%	64%	25%	- 0.21 (
Income	Low Income	107	9%	10%	64%	25%	- 0.26 (
Income	Not Low Income	123	25%	15%	63%	23%	-0.13 (
LEP	LEP	3	0%	33%	67%	0%	***
LEP	Not LEP	227	18%	12%	63%	24%	- 0.19 (
EXPECTED				16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

**Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding
***Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than Expected Growth Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth
Growth from -0.29

to +0.29



Lower than Expected Growth Growth from -0.30 to -0.59



Unsatisfactory Growth





Subject:

Writing

Evaluation Year: 2014-2015

Growth Comparison Group: **Local District**

Term: Spring

Student Growth by Subgroup

Group	Subgroup	Effective Sample Size	% Met Benchmark	% High Growth	% Expected Growth	% Low Growth	Growth
Ethnicity	Asian	5	N/A	40%	40%	20%	+ 0.78*
Ethnicity	Black	3	N/A	33%	33%	33%	***
Ethnicity	Hispanic	153	N/A	15%	71%	14%	+ 0.08
Ethnicity	Other	41	N/A	22%	59%	20%	+ 0.09
Ethnicity	White	466	N/A	14%	69%	17%	- 0.04
Gender	Female	351	N/A	23%	68%	9%	+ 0.28
Gender	Male	317	N/A	7%	69%	24%	- 0.31
IEP	IEP	66	N/A	14%	65%	21%	- 0.15
IEP	No IEP	602	N/A	15%	69%	16%	+ 0.02
Income	Low Income	336	N/A	14%	67%	19%	- 0.07
Income	Not Low Income	332	N/A	17%	70%	14%	+ 0.07
LEP	LEP	31	N/A	19%	65%	16%	- 0.03
LEP	Not LEP	637	N/A	15%	69%	16%	0.00
EXPECTED				16%	68%	16%	0.00

^{*} Dot color is green for all growth scores that are not statistically significant

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding *Growth not reported for groups with fewer than 5 students



Higher than **Expected Growth** Growth is +0.30 or above



Expected Growth



Lower than **Expected Growth** Growth from -0.30



Unsatisfactory Growth Growth is -0.60 or

Growth from -0.29 to +0.29 to -0.59



