North Boone Community Unit School District No. 200

Minutes of the Facilities-Long Range Planning Committee Meeting North Boone District Office

> 6248 North Boone School Road Poplar Grove, IL 61065 Tuesday, November 28, 2023 6:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by Brian Haselhorst at 6:00 p.m. The following Committee members were present: Brian Haselhorst, Joe Haverly, Ed Mulholland, Dr. Greenlee, Stacey Corder, Jim Nolen, Heather Walsh, Angie Koch, Dean Schultz and Chad Cunningham. Also present were Mary Maxey, Kari Neri and Nan Schilling. Members absent were: Kelly Hanaman and Sara Istad.

AUDIENCE TO VISITORS

Mr. William Randall spoke in regards to Policy, specifically Policy 2:150, number 6 <u>Facilities and Long-Range Planning Committee</u>. He stated the Committee's purpose and responsibilities. He also spoke about student growth and how the committee is taking that into consideration along with the funding.

SWOT ANALYSIS

Kari Neri facilitated an exercise called a SWOT Analysis in regards to the different concepts for the athletic fields that we have received. A SWOT analysis is a way for us to prioritize things and hopefully bring the committee and other groups to consensus when there are a lot of different things to compare. The focus of a SWOT analysis is to find the Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats of each concept.

Step 1 consisted of everyone on the committee writing down the 5 priorities they feel should be addressed when designing the new athletic fields.

Step 2 is called the Affinity Group where like priorities are group together. Once they are grouped together, group names are then determined. The groups that were created were:

- Within current budget
- Encompass all sports
- Public amenities
- Equity of resources
- Stakeholder input/feedback

The next step was to evaluate each concept and indicate their Strengths/Weaknesses/Opportunities/Threats and place them on the individual contractor's sheet.

At this point, the meeting was to adjourn. Andrea Sowers will be typing up a sheet for each contractor with the thoughts that were shared. Dr. Greenlee stated that if anyone thinks of anything additional to add to please reach out to either himself or Andrea.

Minutes of Facilitie	s-Long Range	Planning Co	mmittee
November 28, 2023			

Page	2	of	6
Lugo	_	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	$\mathbf{\circ}$

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The next Facilities-Long Range	Planning Committee	Meeting will be	held on Tuesday	, January 9
2024 at 6:00 pm.				

	ADJOURNMENT	
The meeting adjourned at 7:45 p.m.		
Submitted by:		
Brian Haselhorst, Co-Chair	Joe Haverly, Co-Chair	

Athletic Field SWOT Priorities

- 1. Within current budget
- 2. All Sports
- 3. Public amenities
- 4. Equity of resources
- 5. Stakeholder input/feedback

Cashman Stahler

S Strengths:

- A306 Location of Fields
- Gives the vocal few what they want. A WOW factor
- Consolidated Baseball/Softball fields
- Shows future growth for new school
- Sports
- Football Field direction
- Plans for future growth of building
- A303 Could be built in phases with minimal disruption for baseball and softball

W Weaknesses:

- Budget
- Amenities
- No outline for updating existing
- A303 Parking would still be an issue
- Ball fields need to be by each other
- Public Amenities
- Not cohesive
- Not focused
- Too spread out
- Cost more to piecemeal
- Concessions/bathrooms in different places
- No entrance
- Too much change to existing structures
- Too expensive

T Threats

- Bathrooms/concessions for all fields
- Equity
- Stakeholder
- Public
- Water/drainage disruption
- Cost

Opportunities:

- Keep football field in current position and add a track
- Sports
- Public
- Safety
- Connect Upper Elementary school parking lot to future driveway

Eriksson Engineering

S Strengths:

- S-1a Availability of additional parking
- S-1a Soccer, Football and Track together
- Elevation and ground movement
- Football field placement
- Grand project that will give some of the wow factor they want
- Fields grouped
- Sports
- S-1a All fields are together on the West side along with concessions/restrooms
- A lot of practice fields

W Weaknesses:

- Too much change/disruptive
- Not enough parking
- S-1a Varsity and JV Baseball separated
- Cost to move everything
- No central bathrooms/concessions
- Way too spread out
- Safety
- Upkeep excessive
- Walking distance
- No entrance
- Amenities
- Budget
- No outline for updating existing
- Equity of resources
- A lot of turf
- Fields far apart and away from parking
- No room for additional buildings/additions
- Lacks concessions and parking
- Too expensive

T Threats

- Water drainage issues
- Stakeholder
- Equity
- Disruptive to current sports

O Opportunities:

- Uses natural drainage of fields
- Equity
- Public
- Stakeholder
- Public amenities

Byrne and Jones

S Strengths:

- C1.0 Grass
- C1.0 Location of Field
- C1.1/C1.2 Most easily adapted to a tight budget and piecemeal
- Location of fields away from main road
- C1.1/C1.2 Use existing structure placement cost effectively
- Equity of resources
- Use of current football field
- Fencing one area
- Focused concessions/bathroom together
- Address all sports
- Entrance
- Pride/Community
- C1.1 Additional parking lots
- Move the bleachers to North side
- Location of Varsity Baseball fields
- Cost effective
- Facilities close together

W Weaknesses:

- C1.1/C1.2 Will be "too little" for some populations
- One concession stand not close to football field
- Possibly on current septic field
- Move soccer on top of football field
- Safety with traffic
- Locating football field to East side of High School
- Excessive Cost
- Cost if all new
- Parking by walkways
- Budget
- Sports
- Amenities
- No outline for updating existing
- Too far apart
- Soccer Field

T Threats

- C1.0 places fields in areas with poor drainage
- Amenities
- Equity of resources
- Budget
- Lack of water flow

Opportunities:

- Build community pride
- Host outside events organizations
- Financial infusion from these events
- Put Soccer field on Football field